arXiv:1212.4790v1 [math.RA] 19 Dec 2012

TWO EXTENSIONS OF HILBERT'S FINITENESS THEOREM

ROLF KÄLLSTRÖM

Abstract. Let $S^{\cdot}$ be a noetherian graded algebra over a commutative k-algebra A, where k is a commutative ring, and assume it is a module over a Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g}_{A/k}$. If $S^{\cdot}$ is semi-simple over $\mathfrak{g}_{A/k}$ we prove that its ring of invariants $\bar{S}^{\cdot}$ is notherian. When $\mathfrak{g}_{A/k}$ is a solvable Lie algebra over A we construct noetherian subalgebras of $S^{\cdot}$ from subsets of characters of $\mathfrak{g}_{A/k}$. We give similar results for noetherian modules over the pair $(S^{\cdot}, \mathfrak{g}_{A/k})$.

1.

Let A/k be a commutative k-algebra over the ring k, and $T_{A/k}$ its A-module of k-linear derivations, which is also a k-Lie algebra. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{A/k}$ be an A-module of finite type provided with a k-linear Lie bracket $[\cdot,\cdot] : \mathfrak{g}_{A/k} \otimes_k \mathfrak{g}_{A/k} \to \mathfrak{g}_{A/k}$ and a homomorphism $\alpha : \mathfrak{g}_{A/k} \to T_{A/k}$ of Lie algebras and A-modules, where we require $[\delta, a\eta] = \alpha(\delta)(a)\eta + a[\delta,\eta]$, $a \in A$, $\delta,\eta \in \mathfrak{g}_{A/k}$. We call the object $(A, \mathfrak{g}_{A/k}, \alpha)$ a Lie algebroid, thinking of it as an infinitesimal Lie groupoid; the names Lie-Rinehart algebra and Atiyah algebra also circulate in the literature. Here are two basic families of examples of Lie algebroids: (i) $\mathfrak{g}_{A/k} = T_{A/k}(I) \subset T_{A/k}$, the Lie subalgebroid of derivations ∂ which preserve an ideal $I \subset A$, $\partial(I) \subset I$; (ii) $\mathfrak{g}_A = A \otimes_k \mathfrak{g}_k$, where $\mathfrak{g}_k$ is a k-Lie algebra provided with a homomorphism of k-Lie algebras $\phi : \mathfrak{g}_k \to T_{A/k}$, where $[a_1 \otimes \delta_1, a_2 \otimes \delta_2] = a_1\phi(\delta_1)(a_2) \otimes \delta_2 - a_2\phi(\delta_2)(a_1) \otimes \delta_1 + a_1a_2 \otimes [\delta_1,\delta_2]$, $a_1, a_2 \in A$, $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_k$, and $\alpha : A \otimes_k \mathfrak{g}_k \to T_{A/k}$, $\alpha(a \otimes \delta) = a\phi(\delta)$.

A $\mathfrak{g}_{A/k}$-module is an A-module M and a homomorphism of k-Lie algebras $f : \mathfrak{g}_{A/k} \to \mathrm{End}_k(M)$ such that $f(a\delta)(m) = af(\delta)(m)$ and $f(\delta)(am) = \alpha(a)m + af(\delta)(m)$, $\delta \in \mathfrak{g}_{A/k}$, $a \in A$, $m \in M$. By a graded $\mathfrak{g}_{A/k}$-algebra we mean a graded commutative A-algebra $S^{\cdot} = \oplus_{i\geq 0} S^i$, which at the same time is a $\mathfrak{g}_{A/k}$-module by a homomorphism of A-modules and Lie algebras $\phi : \mathfrak{g}_{A/k} \to T_{S^{\cdot}/k}$, such that $\phi(\delta)(S^i) \subset S^i$, $\delta \in \mathfrak{g}_{A/k}$.

A graded $(S^{\cdot}, \mathfrak{g}_{A/k})$-module is a graded $S^{\cdot}$-module and $\mathfrak{g}_{A/k}$-module $M^{\cdot} = \oplus_{i\in\mathbf{Z}} M^i$ such that $\delta \cdot M^i \subset M^i$ and $\delta(sm) = \delta(s)m + s\delta m$, $s \in S^{\cdot}, m \in M^{\cdot}$, $\delta \in \mathfrak{g}_A$. We let $\mathrm{Mod}(S^{\cdot}, \mathfrak{g}_{A/k})$ be the the category of graded $(S^{\cdot}, \mathfrak{g}_{A/k})$- modules $M^{\cdot}$ which are of finite type over $S^{\cdot}$.

When $M^{\cdot} \in \mathrm{Mod}(S^{\cdot}, \mathfrak{g}_{A/k})$ we denote its invariant space $\bar{M}^{\cdot} = (M^{\cdot})^{\mathfrak{g}_A} = \{m \in M^{\cdot} \mid \delta \cdot m = 0, \delta \in \mathfrak{g}_A\}$. Clearly, $\bar{S}^{\cdot} = \oplus \bar{S}^i$ is a graded subring of $S^{\cdot}$, and $\bar{M}^{\cdot}$ is an $\bar{S}^{\cdot}$-module.

2.

The following result generalizes Hilbert's theorem about the finite generation of invariant rings with respect to semi-simple Lie algebras [1].

Date: December 20, 2012.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14A10, 32C38; Secondary 17B99.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that* $M^\cdot \in \mathrm{Mod}(S^\cdot, \mathfrak{g}_A)$ *where* $S^\cdot$ *is a graded noetherian* $\mathfrak{g}_{A/k}$*-algebra.*

1. *Assume that* $S^\cdot$ *is semi-simple over* $\mathfrak{g}_A$ *and* $\bar{S}^0$ *is noetherian. Then* $\bar{S}^\cdot$ *is a graded and noetherian subring of* $S^\cdot$*.*
2. *Assume (1) and also that* $M^\cdot$ *is semi-simple over* $\mathfrak{g}_A$*. Then* $\bar{M}^\cdot$ *is of finite type over* $\bar{S}^\cdot$*.*

Remark 1. It is likely that the assumption in Theorem 2.1, (2), can be relaxed so that (1) need not be assumed, at least when A is a field. The problem is that we need to know that the $\mathfrak{g}_{A/k}$-module $\mathrm{Hom}_A(M^\cdot, M^\cdot)$ is semi-simple, since then $S^\cdot$ can replaced by its image in $\mathrm{Hom}_A(M^\cdot, M^\cdot)$. However, it seems that in general it is a non-trivial problem to see when the $\mathfrak{g}_{A/k}$-module of A-linear homomorphisms of semi-simple modules is semi-simple.

Lemma 2.2. *If* N *is an* $(S^0, \mathfrak{g}_{A/k})$*-module of finite type over* S^0 *and semi-simple over* $\mathfrak{g}_{A/k}$*, then* $\bar{N}$ *is of finite type over* $\bar{S}^0$*.*

Proof. The S^0-submodule $S^0\bar{N} \subset N$ is of finite type over S^0, hence there exists an integer n and a surjective homomorphism of $(S^0, \mathfrak{g}_{A/k})$-modules $\oplus_{i=1}^n S^0 \to S^0\bar{N}$. Since S^0 and N are semi-simple, and therefore also $S^0\bar{N}$ is semi-simple, it follows that this homomorphism is split, so applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_{A/k}}(A, \cdot)$ the induced map $\oplus_{i=1}^n \bar{S}^0 \to (S^0\bar{N})^{\mathfrak{g}_{A/k}} = \bar{N}$ is also surjective. □

Lemma 2.3. *Make the assumptions in Theorem 2.1, (1) and (2), so in particular* $S^\cdot = A\bar{S}^\cdot \oplus Q$*, where* Q *is a semi-simple* $\mathfrak{g}_{A/k}$*-submodule of* $S^\cdot$*, and* $M^\cdot = A\bar{M}^\cdot \oplus M_1$*. Then* $Q\bar{M}^\cdot \subset M_1$*.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_{A/k})$ be the enveloping ring of differential operators of $\mathfrak{g}_{A/k}$. For elements $q \in Q$ and $\bar{m} \in \bar{M}^\cdot$ we have $q\bar{m} = m_0 + m_1$, where $m_0 \in A\bar{M}^\cdot$ and $m_1 \in M_1$, and our goal is to prove $m_0 = 0$. Since Q is semi-simple it suffices to prove this when $\mathcal{D} \cdot q$ is simple. Since $\mathcal{D} \cdot m_0 \subset A\bar{M}^\cdot$ it follows that $\mathcal{D}m_0 = \mathcal{D} \cdot (\mathcal{D}m_0)^{\mathfrak{g}_{A/k}}$. Therefore, since $(\mathcal{D} \cdot q) \cap A\bar{S}^\cdot = \{0\}$, it follows that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{D}m_0, \mathcal{D}q) = 0$; hence $\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}}(m_0) \not\subset \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}}(q)$, so there exists an element P in $\mathcal{D}$ such that $Pq \neq 0$ and $Pm_0 = 0$. We have now

$$\mathcal{D} \cdot (m_0 + m_1) = \mathcal{D}(q\bar{m}) = (\mathcal{D} \cdot q)\bar{m} = (\mathcal{D} \cdot P \cdot q)\bar{m} = \mathcal{D}P(m_0 + m_1) = \mathcal{D}Pm_1.$$

Therefore $m_0 \in M_1 \cap A\bar{M}^\cdot = \{0\}$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1 (1): Since $S^\cdot$ is graded noetherian there exists an integer r such that the graded $\bar{S}^0$-submodule of $V = \oplus_{i=1}^r \bar{S}^i \subset \bar{S}^\cdot_+$ generates $S^\cdot \bar{S}^\cdot_+$ over $S^\cdot$. Let $B^\cdot$ be the subalgebra of $\bar{S}^\cdot$ that is generated by V and $\bar{S}^0$, so in particular $B^i = \bar{S}^i$ when $0 \leq i \leq r$. Let $d > r$ be an integer and assume by induction that $\bar{S}^i = B^i$ when $i < d$. We have (as detailed below)

$$\bar{S}^d = \Big(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} S^i \cdot \bar{S}^{d-i}\Big) \cap \bar{S}^d = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \bar{S}^i \cdot \bar{S}^{d-i} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} B^i \cdot B^{d-i} = B^d.$$

The first equality follows from the inclusion $\bar{S}^d \subset S^\cdot \bar{S}_+ = S^\cdot \cdot V$, noting that V is concentrated in degrees 1 to r. To see clearly the second equality, we first have by Lemma 2.3 that $\bar{S}^{d-i}Q^i \subset Q^d$; then since $S^d = A\bar{S}^d \oplus Q^d$, if $f \in Q^d$, $g \in A\bar{S}^d$, and $f + g \in \bar{S}^d$, it follows that $f = 0$. The last equality follows by induction. This proves that $B^\cdot = \bar{S}^\cdot$. Since $B^0 = \bar{S}^0$ is noetherian and V is of finite type over B^0 by Lemma 2.2, Hilbert's basis theorem implies that $\bar{S}^\cdot$ is finitely generated.

(2): The proof is similar to (1). Since $M^\cdot$ is noetherian there exists an integer r such that the graded $\bar{S}^0$-submodule $W = \oplus_{1\leq i\leq r} M^i$ of $\bar{M}^\cdot$ generates the graded $S^\cdot$-module $S^\cdot \bar{M}^\cdot_{>0} \subset M^\cdot$. There exists also an integer t such that $M^i = 0$ when $i < t$. Let $N^\cdot$ be the $\bar{S}^\cdot$-submodule of $\bar{M}^\cdot$ that is generated by $\bar{M}^\cdot_{\leq 0}$ and W. Then $N^i = \bar{M}^i$ when $i \leq r$. Let $d > r$ be an integer and assume that $N^i = \bar{M}^i$ when $i < d$. We have then again by induction

$$\bar{M}^d = (\sum_{1\leq i\leq r} S^i \cdot \bar{M}^{d-i}) \cap \bar{M}^d = \sum_{1\leq i\leq r} \bar{S}^i \cdot \bar{M}^{d-i} = \sum_{1\leq i\leq r} \bar{S}^i \cdot N^{d-i} = N^d.$$

The second equality follows from the inclusion $Q^{d-i} \cdot \bar{M}^i \subset M_1$ (*Lem.* 2.3). Therefore $\bar{M}^\cdot = N^\cdot$, and since $N^\cdot$ is finitely generated over $\bar{S}^\cdot$ by Lemma 2.2 this completes the proof.

3.

Now assume that $A = k$ and $\alpha = 0$, so that $\mathfrak{g}_k$ is a Lie algebra over k, and assume moreover that $\mathfrak{g}_k$ is solvable, so $S^\cdot_k$ need not be semi-simple even when k is a field. In fact, by a famous counter-example to Hilbert's 14th problem due to Nagata [2], there exists a noetherian $\mathfrak{g}_k$-algebra $S^\cdot_k$ where $\mathfrak{g}_k$ is a solvable finite-dimensional Lie algebra over a field k, such that the invariant ring $\bar{S}^\cdot$ is not noetherian.

Instead of the invariant subring we will make a construction of noetherian subalgbras of $S^\cdot_k$ using subsets of characters of the $\mathfrak{g}_k$-module $S^\cdot_k$. Let $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathfrak{g}_k) = (\mathfrak{g}_k/[\mathfrak{g}_k, \mathfrak{g}_k])^*$ be the character group. If $\chi \in \mathrm{Ch}(\mathfrak{g}_k)$, we put $S^\cdot_\chi = \{s \in S^\cdot \mid (X - \chi(X))^n s = 0, n \gg 1, X \in \mathfrak{g}_k\}$. Similarly, we define $M^\cdot_\chi$ when $M^\cdot$ is any graded $(\mathfrak{g}_k, S^\cdot)$-module, and put $\mathrm{supp}_{\mathfrak{g}_k} M^\cdot = \{\chi \in \mathrm{Ch}(\mathfrak{g}_k) \mid M^\cdot_\chi \neq 0\}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $S^\cdot = \oplus_{n\geq 0} S^n$ *be a noetherian graded* $\mathfrak{g}_k$*- algebra, where* $\mathfrak{g}_k$ *is a solvable Lie algebra and put* $C = \mathrm{supp}_{\mathfrak{g}_k} S^\cdot$. *Then*

$$S^\cdot = \bigoplus_{\chi\in C} S^\cdot_\chi,$$

and C *is a commutative sub-semigroup of* $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathfrak{g}_k)$ *where the binary operation is induced by the ring structure of* $S^\cdot$.

(1) Let Γ *be a subsemigroup of* C, *and put* $\Gamma^c = C \setminus \Gamma$. *Then*

$$S^\cdot_\Gamma = \bigoplus_{\chi\in\Gamma} S^\cdot_\chi$$

is a graded $\mathfrak{g}_k$*-algebra, and if moreover* $\Gamma + \Gamma^c \subset \Gamma^c$, *then* $S^\cdot_\Gamma$ *is noetherian.*

(2) Let $M^\cdot$ *be a finitely-generated graded* $(\mathfrak{g}_k, S^\cdot)$*-module and put* $C_M = \mathrm{supp}_{\mathfrak{g}_k} M^\cdot$. *Then*

$$M^\cdot = \bigoplus_{\phi\in C_M} M^\cdot_\phi,$$

and C *acts on* C_M *in a natural way. Let* Γ *be a subsemigroup of* C, Φ *be a subset of* C_M, *put* $\Phi^c = C_M \setminus \Phi$, *and consider the conditions:*

(a) $\Gamma \cdot \Phi \subset \Phi$,

(b) $\Gamma^c \cdot \Phi \subset \Phi^c$.

Then (a) *implies that*

$$M^{\cdot}_{\Phi} = \bigoplus_{\phi\in\Phi} M^{\cdot}_{\phi}$$

is a graded $(S^{\cdot}_{\Gamma}, \mathfrak{g}_k)$*-module. If also (b) is satisfied, then* $M^{\cdot}_{\Phi}$ *is of finite type over* $S^{\cdot}_{\Gamma}$*.*

Remark 2. The assumption are trivially true when $\Gamma = \{0\} \subset C$, and $S^{\cdot}_{(0)}$ is the subalgebra on which $\mathfrak{g}_k$ acts locally nilpotently. If moreover k is a field of characteristic 0 and $S^{\cdot}_{(0)}$ is the symmetric algebra over a finite dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{g}_k$, Weitzenböck's theorem [3] implies that its invariant ring $\bar{S}^{\cdot}_{(0)}$ is noetherian.

Proof. (1): Since $\mathfrak{g}_k$ is solvable it follows that $S^{\cdot}_{\chi}S^{\cdot}_{\chi'} \subset S^{\cdot}_{\chi+\chi'}$, implying that C is a sub-semigroup of $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathfrak{g}_k)$, and $S^{\cdot}_{\Gamma}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}_k$-subalgebra. It remains to prove that $S^{\cdot}_{\Gamma}$ is noetherian. Define a noetherian algebra $B^{\cdot}$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, so $B^i = S^i_{\Gamma}$ when $0 \leq i \leq r$. Let $d > r$ be an integer and assume that $B^i = S^i_{\Gamma}$ when $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. Since $\Gamma + \Gamma^c \subset \Gamma^c$ it follows that $S^{\cdot}_{\Gamma^c}S^{\cdot}_{\Gamma} \subset S^{\cdot}_{\Gamma^c}$. Therefore $S^{d-i}_{\Gamma}S^{i}_{\Gamma^c} \subset S^{d}_{\Gamma^c}$, and we get as before

$$S^d_{\Gamma} = (\sum_{1\leq i\leq d-1} S^i \cdot S^{d-i}_{\Gamma}) \cap S^d_{\Gamma} = \sum_{1\leq i\leq d-1} S^i_{\Gamma} \cdot S^{d-i}_{\Gamma} = \sum_{1\leq i\leq d-1} B^i \cdot B^{d-i} = B^d.$$

This proves by induction that $B^{\cdot} = S^{\cdot}_{\Gamma}$.

(2): The action of C on C_M is induced by the $S^{\cdot}$-action on $M^{\cdot}$. The proof that $M^{\cdot}_{\Phi}$ is of finite type over $S^{\cdot}_{\Gamma}$ is analogous to the proof of Theorem 2.1, (2). ☐

References

[1] David Hilbert, *Theory of algebraic invariants*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. Translated from the German and with a preface by Reinhard C. Laubenbacher; Edited and with an introduction by Bernd Sturmfels. MR1266168 (97j:01049)

[2] Masayoshi Nagata, *On the* 14*-th problem of Hilbert*, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959), 766–772. MR0105409 (21 #4151)

[3] R. Weitzenböck, *Über die Invarianten von linearen Gruppen*, Acta Math. **58** (1932), no. 1, 231–293, DOI 10.1007/BF02547779 (German). MR1555349

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GÄVLE, 100 78 GÄVLE, SWEDEN
E-mail address: `rkm@hig.se`